

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,067	07/11/2003	Jifa Hao	3016429 (17732.6357.003)	4314	
	7590 03/28/200 BARCLAY, LLP	EXAMINER			
2000 HSBC PI	AZA	ROSE, KIESHA L			
100 Chestnut S ROCHESTER,	treet NY 14604-2404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,067	HAO ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				

	Media E. 1000	LOLL							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n.								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•							
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prorough the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:									
	/Kiesha L. Rose/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2822							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that the Itoh reference does not disclose a highly conductive layer that is a material reacted froma metal and the substrate, such as a silicide. As disclosed in the Itoh reference the layer 73/222 is the highly conductive layer that extends from the first trenches to the second trenches. Itoh discloses that the highly conductive layer 73/222 is made of silicide (Col. 13, lines 59-61). Therefore the Itoh reference does disclose the claimed limitations and can be combined with Hshieh. Therefore the rejection stands.